North Star Charter School

ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT 2015-2016

Idaho Public Charter School Commission 304 North 8th Street, Room 242 Boise, Idaho 83702

Phone: (208) 332-1561 chartercommission.idaho.gov

Alan Reed, Chairman Tamara Baysinger, Director

Distributed January 2017

Introduction

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

- 1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;
- 2. To ensure that charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and
- 3. To inform mid-term decision making, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its history, mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework is comprised of four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. Each section contains a number of measures intended to evaluate the school's performance against specific criteria. The scorecard pages of the framework offer a summary of the school's scores and accountability designation ranging from Honor (high) to Critical (low).

Due to significant and ongoing changes to the state's school accountability system, many of the academic measures in the performance framework could not be scored this year. Data for all of the growth measures and most of the post-secondary readiness measures was unavailable. As a result, academic framework scores cannot reflect the intended scope of information.

Additionally, although ISAT Math and English Language Arts proficiency data was available, it was gathered using an assessment that the state adopted subsequent to the framework's development. The cut scores used to establish proficiency remain under evaluation, and it cannot be determined at this time whether or not the rating categories within each framework measure are appropriate in the context of the new assessment.

For these reasons, we have eliminated academic framework scores from this report and instead provided comparisons of the public charter schools' proficiency rates to those of the state as a whole, as well as to area schools that serve similar grade ranges. In some cases, comparisons cannot be provided because the data is masked per state law or statistical irrelevance.

To facilitate a clearer context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership data provided is from the 2014-15 school year. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

Schools had an opportunity to correct or clarify their framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

Additional information about how the performance framework was developed and how results may be interpreted is available on the PCSC's website: chartercommission.idaho.gov.


School Overview


Mission Statement	North Star Charter School provides characterized by a safe, supportive that encourages high acade confidence, leadership and virtuous	e and structured learning climate mic achievement, intellectual
Key Design Elements	 intellectual confidence and virtual Through the Character Leadershi remove fear, threat and intimidathe environment in which learning A Business/Economics emphasis curriculum to teach the influent decisions in our lives, communities The School will implement the Diploma Program for grades curriculum that is intellectually assessments to prepare students employment. In addition to core subject matter 	aging academic achievement, bus leadership. p Program, the School will strive to ation from the classroom, creating g can best take place. s will be woven throughout the naces of business and economics and world. International Baccalaureate (IB) 11-12, providing students with a challenging and utilizes rigorous for the demands of college and
		age development, visual learning,
School Contact Information	Address: 839 N Linder Rd, Eagle, Idaho, 83616	Phone: 208-939-9600
Surrounding District	Meridian School District	
Opening Year	2003	
Current Term	October 9, 2014 – June 30, 2018	
Grades Served	K – 12	
Enrollment	Approved: 1020	Actual: 960

School Leadership (2015-2016)	Role
James Miller	Chair
William Russell	Vice Chair
Bruce Dukelow	Secretary
Roy Ledesma	Treasurer
Sherawn Reberry	Director
Abe Lloyd	Director
Kelly Cross	Director
Melissa Andersen	Secondary Administrator
Shay Davis	Elementary Administrator
Sheri Drain	Curriculum Administrator

	School	Surrounding District	State
Non-White	11.57%	19.03%	23.84%
Limited English Proficiency	0.00%	5.21%	8.61%
Special Needs	3.96%	9.17%	9.76%
Free & Reduced Lunch	0.00%	23.14%	47.27%

Academic Measure	Result
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in Math	71.3%
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency in English Language Arts	67.%
Percentage of Students Meeting or Exceeding Proficiency In Science	75.1%
Graduation Rate (4-year cohort data from 2015)	91%





PUBLIC CHARTER SCHOOL COMMISSION - PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK

	Name of School: North Star Charter School	Year Opened: 2003	Operating Term:	10/9 /14 - 6/30/18	Date Executed:	10/9/2014
--	---	-------------------	-----------------	--------------------	----------------	-----------

Introduction

Idaho's charter school legislation requires each public charter school authorizer to develop a Performance Framework on which the provisions of the Performance Certificate will be based. Performance Frameworks must clearly set forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the authorizer's evaluations of each public charter school, and must contain the following:

- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic growth;
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for college and career readiness (for high schools); and
- Indicators, measures, and metrics for board performance and stewardship, including compliance with all applicable laws, regulations and terms of the performance certificate.

The measurable performance targets contained within the framework must require, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. This Performance Framework was adopted by the Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) on August 30, 2013, and is intended for use with non-alternative public charter schools authorized by the PCSC.

Performance Framework Structure

The Performance Framework is divided into four sections: Academic, Mission-Specific, Operational, and Financial. The Academic and Mission-Specific sections comprise the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions will be based. The Operational and Financial sections contribute additional indicators that will, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, be considered secondary.

Academic:

A high percentage (60%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of academic measures. These measures are the same for all non-alternative schools. The "Meets Standard" rating for each measure is designed to align closely with state minimum standards as established in Idaho's ESEA waiver and Star Rating System.

Mission-Specific:

A significant portion (40%) of a school's total score for the Academic & Mission Specific Accountability Designation reflects the school's performance on a set of mission-specific measures. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data-driven. The number and weighting of mission-specific measures should be established during one-on-one negotiations between the school and authorizer.

During their first Performance Certificate term only, schools authorized to open in or before Fall 2014 may choose to opt out of the Mission-Specific section of the framework. Schools choosing to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for their first term agree that the weight of those measures will be placed instead on the Academic section, which then becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal.

Operational:

Operational indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the operational section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the non-compliance with organizational expectations is severe or systemic. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal.

Financial:

Financial indicators comprise a secondary element for consideration during the renewal process. While each school will receive a score in the financial section, this score should not be used as the primary rationale for non-renewal unless the school's financial state at the time of renewal is dire. Particularly for a school whose academic performance meets or exceeds standards, poor results in this area are more likely to lead to a conditional renewal decision than to non-renewal. The PCSC may also elect to renew a financially troubled school that is clearly providing a high quality education, but notify the SDE of the situation so that the payment schedule may be modified in order to safeguard taxpayer dollars.

Accountability Designations

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school will guide the determination of that school's accountability designation: Honor, Good Standing, Remediation, or Critical. The accountability designation will, in turn, guide the PCSC's renewal or non-renewal decision-making. Measures for which a school lacks data due to factors such as grade configuration or small size will not contribute to that school's accountability designation. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designation when making renewal or non-renewal decisions.

Honor:

Schools achieving at this level in all categories (academic, mission-specific, operational, and financial) are eligible for special recognition and will be recommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 75-100% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 5-star schools, high-range 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, and mid-range 4-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive an honor designation. Schools that fall into this point-percentage category but have poor operational and/or financial outcomes will not be eligible for an honor designation.

Good Standing:

Schools achieving at this level will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this category, schools much receive the appropriate percentage of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible and have at least a 3-star rating. The Framework places schools that earn 55-74% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star or 4-star schools with solid mission-specific outcomes, or 5-star schools with poor mission-specific, financial, and/or operational outcomes to receive a good standing designation. Although 2-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes could fall into this point-percentage range, they would not be eligible to receive a good standing designation due to their star ratings; the Framework is drafted thus in recognition of Idaho's statutory provision that the performance framework shall, at a minimum, require that each school meet applicable federal and state goals for student achievement.

Remediation:

Schools achieving at this level may be recommended for non-renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed. The Framework places schools that earn 31-54% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 3-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes, 2-star schools, or 1-star schools with strong mission-specific outcomes to receive a remediation designation.

Critical:

Schools achieving at this level face a strong likelihood of non-renewal, particularly if operational and/or financial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered. The Framework places schools that earn less than 30% of the combined academic and mission-specific points possible in this accountability designation. It is possible for 1-star schools or 2-star schools with poor mission-specific outcomes to receive a Critical designation.

NSCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Elem / MS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible HS Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a				25	0%	0.00
	1b				25	0%	0.00
Proficiency	2a				75	0%	0.00
	2b				75	0%	0.00
	2c				75	0%	0.00
Growth	3a				100	0%	0.00
	3b				100	0%	0.00
	3c				100	0%	0.00
	3d				75	0%	0.00
	3e				75	0%	0.00
	3f	Due to significant	and ongoing changes	in the state's school accountability	75	0%	0.00
	3g	system, results for	the academic section	of this framework are not	100	0%	0.00
College & Career Readiness	4a	included in this 20	16 Annual Report. Ple	ease see the School Overview for	50	0%	0.00
	4b1 / 4b2	academic compari	son data.		50	0%	0.00
	4c				50	0%	0.00
Total Possible Academic Points	•	•	•	·	1050	0%	
- Points from Non-Applicable					850		
Total Possible Academic Points for This School					200		
Total Academic Points Received							0.00
% of Possible Academic Points for This School							0.00%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED	Possible Points	% of Total Points	POINTS EARNED
North Star Charter School (NSCS) has elected	ed to opt out of Missio	n-Specific measures f	or this Performance C	ertificate term.			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points							
Total Mission-Specific Points Received							
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points Rece	eived						
TOTAL POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SI	PECIFIC POINTS				200		
TOTAL POINTS RECEIVED							0.00
% OF POSSIBLE ACADEMIC & MISSION-SPE	CIFIC POINTS						0.00%

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned	
Educational Program	1a	25	6%	25.00	
	1b	25	6%	25.00	
	1c	25	6%	25.00	
	1d	25	6%	25.00	
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	6%	15.00	
	2b	25	6%	25.00	
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	6%	25.00	
	3b	25	6%	25.00	
Students & Employees	4a	25	6%	25.00	
	4b	25	6%	25.00	
	4c	25	6%	25.00	
	4d	25	6%	25.00	
School Environment	5a	25	6%	25.00	
	5b	25	6%	25.00	
	5c	25	6%	25.00	
Additional Obligations	6a	25	6%	0.00	
TOTAL OPERATIONAL POINTS		400	100%	365.00	
% OF POSSIBLE OPERATIONAL POINTS				91.25%	

FINANCIAL	Measure	Points Possible	% of Total Points	Points Earned	
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	13%	50.00	
	1 b	50	13%	0.00	
	1c	50	13%	50.00	The financial measures included here are based on industry standards. They
	1d	50	13%	50.00	are not intended to reflect the nuances of a school's financial status. A low
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	13%	0.00	score on any single measure indicates only the possibility of a problem. In
	2b	50	13%	50.00	many cases, contextual information that alleviates concern is provided in the
	2c	50	13%	0.00	notes that accompany individual measures. Please see the financial section of
	2d	50	13%	0.00	this framework for additional detail.
TOTAL FINANCIAL POINTS		400	100%	200.00	
% OF POSSIBLE FINANCIAL POINTS				50.00%	

NSCS --- PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK SCORING

	Academic &	Mission-Specific	Opera	ational		Financial
ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned	Range	% of Points Possible Earned
Honor schools achieving at this level in all categories are eligible for special recognition and will be ecommended for renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.	75% - 100% of points possible		90% - 100% of points possible	91.25%	85% - 100% of points possible	
Good Standing Sichools achieving at this level in Academic & Wilssion-Specific will be recommended for enewal; however, conditional renewal may be ecommended if Operational and/or Financial outcomes are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered. To be placed in this ategory for Academic & Mission-Specific, schools must receive the appropriate percentage of points and have at least a Three Star Rating.	55% - 74% of points possible	Due to statewide changes to the school accountability system, no Academic & Mission-Specific designation is included in this report.	80% - 89% of points possible		85% 65% - 84% of points possible	
Remediation chools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific may be recommended for non- enewal or conditional renewal, particularly if preartional and/or Financial outcomes are also soor. Replication and expansion proposals are inflikely to succeed.	31% - 54% of points possible		61% - 79% of points possible		46% - 64% of points possible	50.00%
Critical ichools achieving at this level in Academic & Mission-Specific level face a strong likelihood of ion-renewal, particularly if Operational and/or inancial outcomes are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals should not be considered.	0% - 30% of points possible		0% - 60% of points possible		0% - 45% of points possible	

	DIRECTOR A COLUMN TO SERVICE A COLUMN TO SERVI						
	INDICATOR 1: STATE AND FEDERAL ACCOUNTABILITY	B 1, (c)	2112				
		Result (Stars)	Points Possible				Points Earned
Measure 1a	Is the school meeting acceptable standards according to existing state grading or rating systems?						
Overall Star Rating		5	25				
	Exceeds Standard: School received five stars on the Star Rating System	4	20				
	Meets Standard: School received three or four stars on the Star Rating System	3	15				
	Does Not Meet Standard: School received two stars on the Star Rating System	2	0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School received one star on the Star Rating System	1	0				
	Fails Fail below Standard. School received one star on the star Nating System	1	U				•
Notes							0
Notes							
Measure 1b	Is the school meeting state designation expectations as set forth by state and federal accountability systems?	Result	Points Possible				Points Earned
State Designations	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						
	Exceeds Standard: School was identified as a "Reward" school.	Reward	25				
	Meets Standard: School does not have a designation.	None	15				
	•						
	Does Not Meet Standard: School was identified as a "Focus" school.	Focus	0				
	Falls Far Below Standard: School was identified as a "Priority" school.	Priority	0				
							0
Notes							
	INDICATOR 2: STUDENT ACADEMIC PROFICIENCY						
		Danula		Danible in this			
		Result	Points Possible	Possible in this	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Measure 2a	Are students achieving reading proficiency on state examinations?	(Percentage)		Range	ŭ		
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Are students achieving reading proficiency on state examinations?	(Percentage)		Range	, and the second		
	Are students achieving reading proficiency on state examinations? Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.	(Percentage)	57-75	Range 19	90-100	11	0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency		(Percentage)	57-75 38-56			11 25	0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	(Percentage)		19 19	90-100		
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	(Percentage)	38-56 20-37	19 19 18	90-100 65-89	25 24	0 0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	(Percentage)	38-56	19 19	90-100 65-89 41-64	25	0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	(Percentage)	38-56 20-37	19 19 18	90-100 65-89 41-64	25 24	0 0 0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	(Percentage)	38-56 20-37	19 19 18	90-100 65-89 41-64	25 24	0 0 0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	(Percentage)	38-56 20-37	19 19 18	90-100 65-89 41-64	25 24	0 0 0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading Notes	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19	19 19 18 19	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40	25 24 40	0 0 0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading Notes Measure 2b	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56 20-37	19 19 18 19	90-100 65-89 41-64	25 24 40	0 0 0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading Notes	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19	19 19 18 19	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40	25 24 40	0 0 0
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading Notes Measure 2b	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19	19 19 18 19	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40	25 24 40	0 0 0
Notes Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations?	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19 Points Possible	19 19 18 19 Possible in this Range	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40	25 24 40 Percentile Points	0 0 0 0
Notes Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations? Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19 Points Possible	19 19 18 19 Possible in this Range	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40 Percentile Targets	25 24 40 Percentile Points	0 0 0 0
Notes Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations? Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19 Points Possible 57-75 38-56 20-37	19 19 18 19 Possible in this Range 19 19	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40 Percentile Targets 90-100 65-89 41-64	25 24 40 Percentile Points 11 25 24	0 0 0 0 Points Earned
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading Notes Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations? Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19 Points Possible 57-75 38-56	19 19 18 19 Possible in this Range	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40 Percentile Targets 90-100 65-89	25 24 40 Percentile Points	0 0 0 0 Points Earned
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading Notes Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Math	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations? Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19 Points Possible 57-75 38-56 20-37	19 19 18 19 Possible in this Range 19 19	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40 Percentile Targets 90-100 65-89 41-64	25 24 40 Percentile Points 11 25 24	0 0 0 0 Points Earned
ISAT / SBA % Proficiency Reading Notes Measure 2b ISAT / SBA % Proficiency	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Are students achieving math proficiency on state examinations? Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency. Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.	Result	38-56 20-37 0-19 Points Possible 57-75 38-56 20-37	19 19 18 19 Possible in this Range 19 19	90-100 65-89 41-64 1-40 Percentile Targets 90-100 65-89 41-64	25 24 40 Percentile Points 11 25 24	0 0 0 0 Points Earned

Neasure 2c SAT / SBA % Proficiency	Are students achieving language proficiency on state examinations?	Result (Percentage)	Points Possible	Possible in this Range	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earn
anguage Arts	Exceeds Standard: 90% or more of students met or exceeded proficiency.		57-75	19	90-100	11	0
anguage Arts	Meets Standard: Between 65-89% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		38-56	19	65-89	25	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 41-64% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		20-37	18	41-64	24	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 41% of students met or exceeded proficiency.		0-19	19	1-40	40	0
lotes							0
	INDICATOR 3: STUDENT ACADEMIC GROWTH						
	INDICATOR 5. STODENT ACADEMIC GROWTH						
	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve proficiency in reading with 3 years or by	Result	Points Possible	Possible in this	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earn
Measure 3a	10th grade?	(Percentage)		Range			
riterion-Referenced	Fuses de Chandard. At least 000/ of students are maline adequate academic arough		76-100	25	85-100	16	0
rowth in Reading	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.		76-100 51-75	25 25	70-84	15	0
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.		26-50	25	50-69	20	0
			0-25	25	1-49	49	0
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth.		0-25	25	1-49	49	0
otes							
Лeasure 3b	Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	Points Possible	Points possible in	Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earn
		(Percentage)		this Range	r creentile rangets	r creentile r onits	
riterion-Referenced		(Percentage)		this Range	reference rangets	Tercentile Folias	
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.	(Percentage)	76-100	this Range 25	85-100	16	0
criterion-Referenced Growth in Math		(Percentage)	76-100 51-75				0
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.	(Percentage)		25	85-100	16	•
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.	(Percentage)	51-75	25 25	85-100 70-84	16 15	0 0 0
	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.	(Percentage)	51-75 26-50	25 25 25	85-100 70-84 50-69	16 15 20	0
Growth in Math	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.	(Percentage)	51-75 26-50	25 25 25	85-100 70-84 50-69	16 15 20	0 0 0
Growth in Math Notes Measure 3c	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.	Result (Percentage)	51-75 26-50	25 25 25	85-100 70-84 50-69	16 15 20 49	0 0 0 0
otes leasure 3c riterion-Referenced	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth. Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	51-75 26-50 0-25 Points Possible	25 25 25 25 25 Possible in this Range	85-100 70-84 50-69 1-49	16 15 20 49	0 0 0 0
irowth in Math	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth. Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade? Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth.	Result	51-75 26-50 0-25 Points Possible	25 25 25 25 25 25 Possible in this Range	85-100 70-84 50-69 1-49 Percentile Targets	16 15 20 49 Percentile Points	0 0 0 0
lotes Measure 3c riterion-Referenced	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth. Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade? Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.	Result	51-75 26-50 0-25 Points Possible 76-100 51-75	25 25 25 25 25 25 Possible in this Range	85-100 70-84 50-69 1-49 Percentile Targets 85-100 70-84	16 15 20 49 Percentile Points	0 0 0 0 0
otes leasure 3c riterion-Referenced	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth. Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade? Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth.	Result	51-75 26-50 0-25 Points Possible 76-100 51-75 26-50	25 25 25 25 25 25 Possible in this Range 25 25 25	85-100 70-84 50-69 1-49 Percentile Targets 85-100 70-84 50-69	16 15 20 49 Percentile Points 16 15 20	0 0 0 0
otes leasure 3c riterion-Referenced	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth. Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50-69% of students are making adequate academic growth. Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth. Are students making adequate annual academic growth to achieve language proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade? Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth. Meets Standard: Between 70-84% of students are making adequate academic growth.	Result	51-75 26-50 0-25 Points Possible 76-100 51-75	25 25 25 25 25 25 Possible in this Range	85-100 70-84 50-69 1-49 Percentile Targets 85-100 70-84	16 15 20 49 Percentile Points	0 0 0 0 0

Are students making expected annual academic growth in reading falls between the 50° and 40°° percentile. Measure 3 e Vorm-Referenced Strowth in Machine Strowth Machi								
	Measure 3d	Are students making expected annual academic growth in reading compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible		Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Meessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in math falls between the 30° and 42° percentile. Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language arts falls between the 60° and 90° percentile. Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language arts falls between the 60° and 90° percentile. Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language arts falls between the 60° and 90° percentile. Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic growth in language arts falls between the 60° and 90° percentile. Weessure 3 Are students making expected annual academic		Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
Measure 3 forward in Language	-			38-56	19	43-65	23	0
Measure 3e Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Result (Percentile) Points Possible Range Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 60" and 90" percentile. Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Notes Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Notes Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Notes Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Notes Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Notes Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Notes Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Notes Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language arts falls between the 60" and 90" percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 40" and and 65" percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 40" and and 65" percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30" and 42" percentile. Measure 3g Note Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30" and 42" percentile. Measure 3g Note Meet Standard: School carned at least 70% of possible points in SSS Accountability Area 3. Meets Standard: School carned at least 70% of possible points in SSS Accountability Area 3. Does Not Meet Standard: School carned at least 70% of possible points in SSS Accountability Area 3. Does Not Meet Standard: School carned at least 70% of possible points in SSS Accountability Area 3. The school increasing subgroup acade		Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
Measure 3 E Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Meters Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 68" and 99" percentile. Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 68" and 99" percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 43" and and 56" percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 30" and 42" percentile. Possible in this percentile.		Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in reading falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
Measure 3e Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Mesut Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66 th and 96 th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 80 th and a 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 80 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 80 th and 42 th percentile. Notes Measure 3f Norm-Referenced Growth in Language Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 40 th and and 65 th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and and 65 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls	Notes							0
Measure 3e Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers? Mesut Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66 th and 96 th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 80 th and a 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 80 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 80 th and 42 th percentile. Notes Measure 3f Norm-Referenced Growth in Language Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 40 th and and 65 th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and and 65 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls								
Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66° and 99° percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 43° and and 65° percentile. Possible in this Range Possi	Measure 3e	Are students making expected annual academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible		Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Notes Possible in this Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. 20-37 18 30-42 13 0 0	Growth in Math	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
Notes Result (Percentile) Points Possible Range Possible in this Range Porcentile Targets Percentile Points Posible Range Possible in this Range Possible In th		Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 43 rd and and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Norm-Referenced 3rowth in Language		Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Norm-Referenced From thin Language Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43th and and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43th and and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43th and and 65th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30th and 42th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned at least 70th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Does Not Meet Standard: School earned at least 70th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 45th Government of the school in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 45th Government of the school in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 45th Government of the school in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 45th Government of the school in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School		Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in math falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	
Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Norm-Referenced Frowth in Language Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43th and and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43th and and 42th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Notes Result (Percentage) Points Possible Range 43-65 23 0 0 20-37 18 30-42 13 0 0 1-29 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Notes							0
Measure 3f Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers? Norm-Referenced Frowth in Language Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43th and and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43th and and 42th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Notes Result (Percentage) Points Possible Range 43-65 23 0 0 20-37 18 30-42 13 0 0 1-29 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0								
Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43rd and and 65th percentile. Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30th and 42th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30th and 42th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30th percentile. Notes Measure 3g Subgroup Growth Combined Subjects Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Meets Standard: School earned 45t-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46t-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 30th of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 46th and 50th and	Measure 3f	Are students making expected annual academic growth in language compared to their academic peers?	Result (Percentile)	Points Possible		Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	Points Earned
Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile. Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30 th percentile. O-19 19 1-29 29 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	Growth in Language	Exceeds Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 66 th and 99 th percentile.		57-75	19	66-99	34	0
Result (Percentage) Subgroup Growth Combined Subjects Exceeds Standard: School earned 4t least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 4s-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned growth goes not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: The School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability A		Meets Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 43 rd and and 65 th percentile.		38-56	19	43-65	23	0
Notes Result (Percentage) Points Possible in this Range Percentile Targets Percentile Points Points Earner Points Earner Points Possible in this Range Percentile Targets Percentile Points Points Earner Points Earner Points Possible in this Range Percentile Targets Percentile Points Points Earner Points Earner Points Possible in this Range Percentile Targets Percentile Points Points Earner Points Earner Points Earner Points Possible in this Range Percentile Targets Points Earner Points Earner Points Possible Points In SER Accountability Area 3. To-100 25 70-100 31 0 To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SER Accountability Area 3. To Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 45-69% of		Does Not Meet Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls between the 30 th and 42 th percentile.		20-37	18	30-42	13	0
Measure 3g Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time? Weasure 3g Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. To-100 25 70-100 31 0 To-100 25 45-69 25 0 To-100 25 30-44 15 0 To-100 31 0		Falls Far Below Standard: The school's Median SGP in language arts falls below the 30 th percentile.		0-19	19	1-29	29	0
Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time? (Percentage) Points Possible Range Percentile Targets Percentile Points Points Earner Subgroup Growth							-	٥
Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time? (Percentage) Points Possible Range Percentile Targets Percentile Points Points Earner Subgroup Growth	Notes							Ū
Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 76-100 25 70-100 31 0 Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 51-75 25 45-69 25 0 Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 26-50 25 30-44 15 0 Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 0-25 25 1-29 29 0 0	Notes							•
Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 51-75 25 45-69 25 0 Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 26-50 25 30-44 15 0 Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 0-25 25 1-29 29 0 0	Measure 3g	Is the school increasing subgroup academic performance over time?		Points Possible		Percentile Targets	Percentile Points	
Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 26-50 25 30-44 15 0 Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 0-25 25 1-29 29 0 0	Measure 3g Subgroup Growth				Range			Points Earned
Falls Far Below Standard: School earned fewer than 30% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. 0-25 25 1-29 29 0	Measure 3g	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100	Range 25	70-100	31	Points Earned
·	Measure 3g Subgroup Growth	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100 51-75	Range 25 25	70-100 45-69	31 25	Points Earne
iotes	Measure 3g Subgroup Growth	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100 51-75 26-50	Range 25 25 25	70-100 45-69 30-44	31 25 15	Points Earne 0 0 0
	Measure 3g Subgroup Growth	Exceeds Standard: School earned at least 70% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Meets Standard: School earned 45-69% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3. Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 30-44% of possible points in SRS Accountability Area 3.		76-100 51-75 26-50	Range 25 25 25	70-100 45-69 30-44	31 25 15	Points Earne 0 0 0 0

	INDICATOR 4: COLLEGE AND CAREER READINESS						
Measure 4a Advanced Opportunity	Are students participating successfully in advance opportunity coursework?	Result	Points Possible				Points Earned
Coursework	Exceeds Standard: School earned 5 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity Meets Standard: School earned 3-4 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity Does Not Meet Standard: School earned 2 points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Advanced Opportunity Falls Far Below Standard: School earned 1 or fewer points in SRS Post-Secondary Content Area: Adv Oppty	5 3-4 2 1	50 30 10 0				
Notes	,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,						0
Measure 4b1 College Entrance	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible				Points Earned
Exam Results	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2013-14, at least 35% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam. Meets Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 25-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.)		50 30				
	Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2013-14, between 20-24% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.) Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2013-14, fewer than 20% of students met or exceeded the college		10				
Notes	readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		0				0
Notes							
Measure 4b2 College Entrance	Does students' performance on college entrance exams reflect college readiness?	Result	Points Possible				Points Earned
Exam Results	Exceeds Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, at least 45% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam. Meets Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 35-44% of students met or exceeded the college		50				
	readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam. Does Not Meet Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, between 30-34% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		30 10				
	Falls Far Below Standard: Effective in 2014-15 and thereafter, fewer than 30% of students met or exceeded the college readiness benchmark on an entrance or placement exam.		0				
Notes							0
Measure 4c Graduation Rate	Are students graduating from high school?	Result (Percentage)	Possible Overall	Possible in this Range		Percentile Points	
	Exceeds Standard: At least 90% of students graduated from high school. Meets Standard: 81-89% of students graduated from high school. Does Not Meet Standard: 71%-80% of students graduated from high school.		39-50 26-38 14-25	12 13 12	90-100 81-89 71-80	11 9 10	0 0 0
Notes	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 70% of students graduated from high school.		0-13	13	1-70	70	0 0

MISSION-SPECIFIC GOALS Measure 1 Is the school ***? **Points Points Earned** Result **Possible Exceeds Standard:** Meets Standard: **Does Not Meet Standard:** Falls Far Below Standard: 0.00 **Notes** North Star Charter School (NSCS) has elected to opt out of Mission-Specific measures for the initial Performance Certificate term ending June 30, 2018. NSCS acknowledges and agrees that the weight that would have been placed on the Mission-Specific section of this Framework will be placed instead on the Academic section of this Framework, which therefore becomes the single, primary factor considered for purposes of renewal or non-renewal. NSCS further acknowledges and agrees that, if the Certificate is renewed effective July 1, 2018, Mission-Specific measures must be included in the renewal Certificate at that time. NSCS has been advised to spend the initial Certificate term developing and testing Mission-Specific measures for future use.

Measure 1b Education Requirements Education Requirements Educational Program Indicate the content of the discussional program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the educational program as described in the performance retrificate, without approval for a charter modification, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate. Without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate. Without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate. Notes		INDICATOR 1: EDUCATIONAL PROGRAM			
and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements. School has gliened approach of a charter modification to the material terms of the mission, vision, and essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate. **Notes*** **Result*** Points** **Points** Points** **Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?** **Exceeds Standard: The school materially compiles with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to be ducation requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions or requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions or requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions or requirements, modern standards including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions or requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions or requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; newborn matters of non compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the general major care indicate an elation of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the general global care and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the general global control of the performance certificate relating to the resultment of students with disabilitie		Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the performance certificate?	Result		Points Earned
easure 1b total and programs as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate. Points	•	and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the performance certificate, or the	of non- compliance	25	25.00
heasure 1b ducation Requirements Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?		educational program as described in the performance certificate, without approval for a charter modification, such that the program		0	
Is the school complying with applicable education requirements? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: instructional time requirements, graduation and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements, including but on the same with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the deucation requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Exceeds Standard: The school exhibits requent and/or significant non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enrol; identification and referrals, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans, operational compliance, including provision of services in the Life appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans, operational compliance, including provision of services in the Life appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans, operational compliance, including provision of s	lotes				25.00
is the school complying with applicable education requirements? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including but on thill mited to: Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding. Meets Standard: The school has exhibited on no compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with disabilities? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEEPs and Section 50 by plans, operational compliance, including provision of services in the LER appropriate use of all available, applicable funding. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, reg					
Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements, including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding. Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Squibble access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRI and appropriate inclusion in the school's cademic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities, discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those		Is the school complying with applicable education requirements?	Result		Points Earned
certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quic		certificate relating to education requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation and promotion requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, State	of non- compliance	25	25.00
and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?		certificate relating to the education requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with		15	
Measure 1c tudents with Disabilities Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of See note Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of the performance documented See note One of non-compliance and provisions of the performance documented students with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance documented students with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance documented studen		and provisions of the performance certificate relating to education requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly		0	
Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of See note See note On 0.000	Notes				25.00
Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities? Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of laws, rules, regulations, and provision of the performance c					
Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available, applicable funding. Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of See note See		Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result		Points Earned
certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of	Statens was bisabilities	certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral; appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provision of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to the school's facility and program; appropriate use of all available,	of non- compliance	25	25.00
and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of		certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of		15	
		and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of	See note	0	0.00
25.00					25.00

		Result	Points	
Measure 1d English Language Learners	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting of students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Matters of noncompliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
	INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT			
Measure 2a Financial Reporting	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
and Compliance	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable), periodic financial reports as required by the PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with and Education Service Provider; on-time submission and completion of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.		25	
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	15	15.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes	The school has partially maintained an expenditures website as required by §33-357, Idaho Code; however, it has not consistently been kept current (within 45 days).			15.00
Measure 2b GAAP	Is the school following Generally Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit opinion; an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weaknesses, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audits; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

	GOVERNANCE AND REPORTING			
Measure 3a Governance Requirements	Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
·	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; state open meetings law; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	25.00
Notes				25.00
Measure 3b Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
•	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; additional information requested by the authorizer.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
	INDICATOR 4: STUDENTS AND EMPLOYEES			
Measure 4a Student Rights	Is the school protecting the rights of all students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
•	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students, including but not limited to: policies and practices related to recruitment and enrollment; the collection and protection of student information; due process protections, privacy, civil rights, and student liberties requirements; conduct of discipline.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the rights of students; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

Measure 4b Credentialing	Is the school meeting teacher and other staff credentialing requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification requirements; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
Measure 4c Employee Rights	Is the school complying with laws regarding employee rights?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
projec ingino	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations, including those relating to the Family Medical Leave Act, the Americans with Disabilities Act, and employment contracts.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations or employee rights. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to employment considerations; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
Measure 4d Background Checks	Is the school completing required background checks?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to background checks of all applicable individuals; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

	INDICATOR 5: SCHOOL ENVIRONMENT			
Measure 5a Facilities and Transportation	Is the school complying with facilities and transportation requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
•	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation, including but not limited to: American's with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certificate of occupancy or other required building use authorization, documentation of requisite insurance coverage, and student transportation.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, or transportation. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities, grounds, and transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
Measure 5b Health and Safety	Is the school complying with health and safety requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
,	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to safety or the provision of health-related services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to safety and the provision of health-related services; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00
Measure 5c Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
,	Exceeds Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of and providing access to student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; accessing documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information law and other applicable authorities; Transferring of student records; proper and secure maintenance of testing materials.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25.00
	Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25.00

	ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS			
Measure 6a Additional Obligations	Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to state charter law; and requirements of the State Department of Education. Matters of noncompliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		25	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.	See note	0	0.00
Notes	The school has not posted a Continuous Improvement Plan on its website as required by §33-320, Idaho Code; this matter had not been remedied as of July 1, 2016.			0.00

	INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM MEASURES			
Measure 1a Current Ratio	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result Current Ratio is	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.</i>	1.29	50	50.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative. Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.		10 0	
Notes				50.00
Measure 1b Unrestricted Days Cash	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense / 365)	Result No. of Days Cash	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash. Does Note Meet Standard: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.		50 10	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.	11	0	0.00
Notes				0.00
Measure 1c Enrollment Variance	Enrollment Variance: Actual Enrollment divided by Enrollment Projection in Charter School Board-Approved Budget	Result Variance is:	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: Enrollment Variance equals or exceeds 95 percent in the most recent year.	94% / 97.6%		50.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment Variance is between 85-95 percent in the most recent year. Falls Far Below Standard: Enrollment Variance is less than 85 percent in the most recent year.		30 0	
Notes	The two scores shown reflect a difference between results of the calculation using support units, consistent with past annual reports, and actual enrollment numbers. If the former were used, the score received for this measure would be 30, contributing to a lower overall financial accountability rating. Regardless, this measure does not carry material concern as to the overall financial status of the school at this time, nor does it impact the school's ability to operate under its current performance certificate.			50.00
Measure 1d Default	Default	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: School is not in default of loan covenant(s) and/or is not delinquent with debt service payments.	No instances of non-compliance documented	50	50.00
	Does Not Meet Standard: Not applicable			

	INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY MEASURES			
Measure 2a Total Margin and Aggregated	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margin: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues	Result Aggregated 3-Yea Totals:	Points Possible	Points Earned
3-Year Total Margin	Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. <i>Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.</i>	iotais	50	
	Does Not Meet Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard" Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR The most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.	-12.90%	0	0.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.			0.00
Measure 2b Debt to Asset Ratio	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result Ratio is:	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9 Does Not Meet Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0	0.88	50 30 0	50.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Total Liabilities may be higher than expected. The restatement had a material effect on the standard outcome resulting in a "falls far below standard" (1.72) rating. However, the pension liability was removed from the Total Liability calculation in the reported standard outcome. In addition, subordinated bonds, totalling \$5,798,156, with no principal or interest payments due until 2049 has been eliminated from the calcultion. The subornidated bonds have no effect on current or upcoming cash flow.			
Measure 2c Cash Flow	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One-Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result Multi-Year Cumulative is:	Points Possible	Points Earned
Cash How	Meets Standard (in one of two ways): Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.		50	
Notes	Does Not Meet Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard" Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative	\$ (155,812	30 0	0.00
Measure 2d Debt Service Coverage Ratio	Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result Ratio is:	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1 Does Not Meet Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1 Falls Far Below Standard: Not Applicable	0.38	50 0	0.00
Notes	Due to the Restatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension restatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This restatement had no material effect on the standard outcome.			0.00

ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED*	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
State/Federal Accountability	1a	25	20.00	0.00	0.00		
	1b	25	15.00	0.00	0.00		
Proficiency	2a	75	68.09	0.00	0.00		
	2b	75	62.74	0.00	0.00		
	2c	75	56.23	0.00	0.00		
Growth	3a	100	83.94	0.00	0.00		
	3b	100	61.80	0.00	0.00		
	3c	100	67.77	0.00	0.00		
	3d	75	48.57	0.00	0.00		
	3e	75	43.61	0.00	0.00		
	3f	75	46.09	0.00	0.00		
	3g	100	78.47	0.00	0.00		
College & Career Readiness	4a	50	0.00	0.00	0.00		
-	4b1 / 4b2	50	50.00	0.00	0.00		
	4c	50	5.52	0.00	0.00		
Total Possible Academic Points Received		1050	707.80	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Academic Points for This School			67.41%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%	0.00%

*2013-14 academic results are based on 2012-13 ISAT. Subsequent outcomes are based on the ISAT by SBAC and should not be directly compared to 2013-14 data.

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
North Star Charter School (NSCS) has elected to opt out of	Mission-Specific measure	s for this Performance Cer	rtificate term.			
Total Possible Mission-Specific Points Received	0	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Mission-Specific Points for This School		N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A

OPERATIONAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Educational Program	1a	25	25	25	25		
	1b	25	25	15	25		
	1c	25	25	25	25		
	1d	25	25	25	25		
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	25	0	15		
	2b	25	0	25	25		
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25	25	25		
	3b	25	25	15	25		
Students & Employees	4a	25	25	25	25		
	4b	25	25	25	25		
	4c	25	25	25	25		
	4d	25	25	25	25		
School Environment	5a	25	25	25	25		
	5b	25	25	25	25		
	5c	25	25	25	25		
Additional Obligations	6a	25	25	25	0		
Total Possible Operational Points Received		400	375.00	355.00	365.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Operational Points for This School			93.75%	88.75%	91.25%	0.00%	0.00%

FINANCIAL	Measure	Possible Points	2013-14 POINTS EARNED	2014-15 POINTS EARNED	2015-16 POINTS EARNED	2016-17 POINTS EARNED	2017-18 POINTS EARNED
Near-Term Measures	1a	50	10	50	50		
	1b	50	10	10	0		
	1c	50	N/A	50	50		
	1d	50	50	50	50		
Sustainability Measures	2a	50	0	0	0		
	2b	50	0	0	50		
	2c	50	50	30	0		
	2d	50	0	50	0		
Total Possible Financial Points Received		400	120.00	240.00	200.00	0.00	0.00
% of Possible Financial Points for This School			34.29%	60.00%	50.00%	0.00%	0.00%

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	2013-14	2014-15	2015-16	2016-17	2017-18
ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION	DESIGNATION
Academic & Mission-Specific	Good Standing	N/A	N/A		
Operational	Honor	Good Standing	Honor		
Financial	Critical	Remediation	Remediation		

