NORTH STAR CHARTER SCHOOL 2018 ANNUAL PERFORMANCE REPORT

INTRODUCTION

Each year, Idaho's Public Charter School Commission (PCSC) issues a performance report to every school in its portfolio. The annual report serves several purposes:

1. To provide transparent, data-driven information about charter school quality;

2. To ensure charter school boards have access to clear expectations and are provided maximum opportunity to correct any deficiencies prior to their renewal year; and

3. To inform mid-term authorizing decisions, such as the evaluation of charter amendment proposals.

This report contains an overview of the school, including its mission, leadership, and demographics. The overview is followed by the school's performance framework, including outcomes for the most recently completed school year.

The performance framework clearly sets forth the academic and operational performance indicators, measures, and metrics that will guide the PCSC's evaluations of the school. It contains indicators, measures, and metrics for student academic proficiency, student academic growth, post-secondary readiness (for high schools), and board performance and stewardship.

In accordance with Idaho law, the performance framework requires, at a minimum, that each school meet applicable federal, state, and authorizer goals for student achievement. It is designed to fulfill this requirement while respecting the diverse missions and student populations represented in PCSC portfolio schools. This performance framework was adopted by the Idaho Public Charter School Commission on May 4th, 2017.

To facilitate a clear context for the academic results contained in this report, the demographic, enrollment, and school leadership information provided is from the school year during which the data was gathered. Updated enrollment and school leadership information is available upon request from the school or PCSC office.

The data provided in this report was gathered primarily through the State Board of Education and State Department of Education. An independent financial audit and any applicable mission-specific data were submitted directly by the school. The school had a opportunity to correct or clarify its framework outcomes prior to the publication of this report.

Public charter school operations are inherently complex. For this reason, readers are encouraged to consider the scores on individual measures within the framework as a starting point for gaining a full, contextualized understanding of the school's performance.

PERFORMANCE FRAMEWORK STRUCTURE

The academic section comprises the primary indicators on which most renewal or non-renewal decisions are based. The mission-specific, operational, and financial sections contribute additional indicators that are, except in cases of egregious failure to meet standards, considered secondary.

Academic	The academic section focuses on quantitative academic outcomes. It reflects the PCSC's commitments to considering schools' performance in the context of their communities and student populations. Although some results may not be made publically available in certain cases, in order to protect individually identifiable student information, the PCSC may still use this information for purposes of making authorizing decisions.
Mission-Specific	The mission-specific section provides an opportunity for meaningful acknowledgement of schools' achievements that are not reflected elsewhere in the framework. These measures may be academic or non-academic in nature, but must be objective and data- driven. Mission-specific measures are generally optional; however, inclusion of certain mission-specific measures may be required as a condition of the performance certificate.
Operational	The operational section considers whether schools are operating in compliance with federal and state law, authorizer requirements, and the provisions of their performance certificates.
Financial	The financial section evaluates the near-term and long-term financial status of the school. Schools with management contracts containing deficit protection clauses may be exempted from these indicators.

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATIONS

Calculation of the percentage of eligible points earned for each school determines that school's accountability designation in each section. The accountability designations, in turn, guide authorizing decisions. The PCSC will consider contextual factors affecting a school's accountability designations when making authorizing decisions.

Honor	Schools achieving at this level in all sections are guaranteed renewal. Replication and expansion proposals are likely to succeed.
Good Standing	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section will be recommended for renewal; however, conditional renewal may be recommended if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals will be considered.
Remediation	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section may be recommended for non- renewal or conditional renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are poor. Replication and expansion proposals are unlikely to succeed.
Critical	Schools achieving at this level in the academic section face a strong likelihood of non- renewal, particularly if outcomes in other sections are also poor. Replication and expansion proposals will not be considered.

	SCHOOL OVER	RVIEW	
Mission Statement	North Star Charter School provic safe, supportive and structured achievement, intellectual confid	learning climate that en	courages high academic
Key Design Elements	 and intimidation from the classritake place. A Business/Economics emphase influences of business and econor The School will implement the grades 11-12, providing students utilizes rigorous assessments to employment. 	nent, intellectual confide ship Program, the Schoo oom, creating the enviro sis will be woven throug omic decisions in our live International Baccalaur s with a curriculum that prepare students for the tter, the School will emp idditional opportunities	ence and virtuous leadership. ol will strive to remove fear, threat onment in which learning can best hout the curriculum to teach the es, communities and world. reate (IB) Diploma Program for is intellectually challenging and e demands of college and ohasize the Arts, exposing students for increased language
School Location	839 N Linder Rd Eagle, Idaho, 83616	School Phone	208-939-9600
Surrounding District	West Ada School District		
Opening Year	2003		
Current Term	July 1, 2018 – June 30, 2023		
Grades Served	K-12		
Enrollment (Approved)	1020	Enrollment (Actual)	906

SCHO	OL LEADERSHIP
William Russell	Chair
Bruce Dukelow	Vice Chair
Abe Lloyd	Treasurer
Jenna Balch	Secretary
Jon Gittings	Member
Jennifer Visser	Member
Melissa Anderson	Administrator
Shay Davis	Administrator

	STUDE	IT DEMOGRAPHICS		
	School	State	Surrounding	Neighboring
Non-White	14%	25%	19%	N/A
Limited English Proficiency	1%	6%	3%	N/A
Special Needs	5%	10%	10%	N/A
Free and Reduced Lunch	0%	47%	26%	N/A

ISAT PROFICIENCY RATES	
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Math	77%
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in English Language Arts	73%
Percentage of students meeting or exceeding proficiency in Science	81%

GO-ON RATE (Post-secondary enrollment within 12 months of graduation)	68%
---	-----

		Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points	Points
ACADEMIC	Measure	Possible	Earned	Possible	Earned	Possible	Earned	Possible	Earned
		K-8	K-8	9-12	9-12	K-12	K-12	Alternative	Alternative
State Proficiency Comparison	1a	50	0	50	0	50			
	1b	50	0	50	0	50			
District Proficiency Comparison	2a	50	0	50	0	50		50	0
	2b	50	0	50	0	50		50	0
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a	100	0			50			
	3b	100	0			50			
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a			100	0	50		50	0
	4b			100	0	50		50	0
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a			125	0	125		100	0
Total Academic Points		400	0	525	0	525	479	300	0
% of Academic Points			0%		0%		91%		0%

MISSION-SPECIFIC	Measure	Points Possible	Points Earned	
	1			
	2			
	3			
	4			
	5			
	6			
Total Mission-Specific Points		0	0	
% of Mission-Specific Points				

NSCS has chosen not to include mission-specific measures.

ODERATIONAL	Maagura	Points	Points	FINANCIAL	Maacura	Points	Points
OPERATIONAL	Measure	Possible	Earned	FINANCIAL	Measure	Possible	Earned
Educational Program	1a	25	25	Near-Term	1a	50	50
	1b	25	25		1b	50	50
	1c	25	25		1c	50	50
	1d	25	25		1d	50	50
Financial Management & Oversight	2a	25	25	Sustainability	2a	50	0
	2b	25	25		2b	50	50
4	2c	25	25		2c	50	50
Governance & Reporting	3a	25	25		2d	50	50
	3b	25	25	Total Financial Points		400	350
	Зc	25	25	% of Financial Points			88%
	3d	25	25				
	3e	25	25				
	3f	25	25				
School Environment	4a	25	25	The financial measures abo	ve are based	an inductor etc	andarda Thou
	4b	25	25	The financial measures abo are not intended to reflect			
Additional Obligations	5a	25	25	– Please see the financial			
Total Operational Points		400	400				TOI Televani
% of Operational Points			100%	contextual information that	may alleviate c	oncern.	

	Range	Academic			Mission				
ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	(% of Points Possible)	Gen Ed Outcome	Academic Alt Outcome	Range	Specific Outcome	Range	Operational Outcome	Range	Financial Outcome
Honor	75% - 100%			75% - 100%		90% - 100%		85% - 100%	
Good Standing	55% - 74%	91%	0%	55% - 74%	NA	80% - 89%	100%	65% - 84%	88%
Remediation	31% - 54%	5170	078	31% - 54%	NA	61% - 79%	100%	46% - 64%	0070
Critical	0% - 30%			0% - 30%		0% - 60%		0% - 45%	
School outcomes will be evalu	lated in light of	contextual in	formation, inclu	ıding student d	emographics,	school missior	, and state/fed	eral requireme	ents.

ACADEMIC K-12

All proficiency and growth measures will be scored using the ISAT by SBAC, or any state-required standardized test as may replace it. Subject area (math and ELA) may be replaced by similar subject areas if necessary due to statewide changes. On all applicable measures, standard rounding to the nearest whole number will be used for scoring purposes. Measures based on ISAT outcomes exclude alternate ISAT data; as a result, the outcomes shown may differ slightly from those published on the State Department of Education's website.

	INDICATOR 1: STATE PROFICIENCY COMPARISON	_		
Measure 1a	Do math proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to State	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.		50	
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the state average.		15 - 29	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the state average.		0 - 14	
Notes	The state average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school.			
Measure 1b	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 1b ELA Proficiency Rate	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average?	Result		
	Do English Language Arts proficiency rates meet or exceed the state average? Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	Result		
ELA Proficiency Rate		Result	Possible	
ELA Proficiency Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more.	Result	Possible	
ELA Proficiency Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA exceeds the state average by 16 percentage points or more. Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the state average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.	Result	Possible 50 30 - 45	

	INDICATOR 2: DISTRICT PROFICIENCY COMPARISON		5.1.1	
Measure 2a	Do math (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Math Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.		50	
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.		15 - 29	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in math is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.		0 - 14	
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. West Ada School District will be used for comparison purposes.			
Measure 2b	Do ELA (or similar subject area) proficiency rates meet or exceed the district average?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
ELA Proficiency Rate				
Comparison to District	Exceeds Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA either exceeds the district average by 16 percentage points or more, or is at least 80%.		50	
	Meets Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is equal to the district average, or exceeds it by 1 - 15 percentage points.		30 - 45	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 1 - 15 percentage points lower than the district average.		15 - 29	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's proficiency rate in ELA is 16 or more percentage points lower than the district average.		0 - 14	
Notes	The district average will be determined using the same grade set as is served by the public charter school. West Ada School District will be used for comparison purposes.			

	INDICATOR 3: CRITERION-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES K-8)			
Measure 3a	Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve math proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Criterion-Referenced Growth				
Math	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		39-50	
	Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		26-38	
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		13-25	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in math.		0-12	
Notes				
Measure 3b	Are students making adequate academic growth to achieve English Language Arts proficiency within 3 years or by 10th grade?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Criterion-Referenced Growth				
ELA	Exceeds Standard: At least 85% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		39-50	
	Meets Standard: Between 70% and 84% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		26-38	
	Does Not Meet Standard: Between 50% and 69% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		13-25	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 50% of students are making adequate academic growth in ELA.		0-12	
Notes				

	INDICATOR 4: NORM-REFERENCED STUDENT GROWTH (GRADES 9-12)			
Measure 4a	Are students making expected academic growth in math compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth				
Math	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.		39-50 26-38	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls between the 30th and 42nd percentile.		13-25	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in math falls below the 30th percentile.		0-12	
Notes	Growth will be calculated using 8th and 10th grade ISAT scores. Individual students' growth will be compared to the growth of other students, statewide, who fell in the same category (below basic, basic, proficient, or advanced) on the 8th grade ISAT.			
Measure 4b	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Norm-Referenced Growth		Result	Possible	
	Are students making expected academic growth in English Language Arts compared to their academic peers? Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.	Result		
Norm-Referenced Growth	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile.	Result	Possible 39-50	
Norm-Referenced Growth	Exceeds Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 66th and 99th percentile. Meets Standard: The school's median student growth percentile in ELA falls between the 43rd and 65th percentile.	Result	Possible 39-50 26-38	

Measure 5a	Are students graduating from high school on time?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Four-Year Adjusted Cohort			_	
Graduation Rate	Exceeds Standard: The school's four-year ACGR was at least 90%.		125	
	Meets Standard: The school either:			
	a) had a four-year ACGR of 80% - 89% OR		100	
	b) had a four-year ACGR of at least 66% AND met its progress goal.			
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school met its progress goal but had a four-year ACGR below 66%.		75	
	Falls Far Below Standard: The school did not meet its progress goal and had a four-year ACGR below 66%.		0-65	
	The school's graduation rate progress goal will be established by the state accountability system. If such goals are not established by the state accountability system in any given year, the school's graduation rate progress goal will be established as follows: The progress goal will represent the school's most recent four-year ACGR plus one-sixth of the amount of growth needed to decrease the rate of non-graduates by 50% within 6 years, using the most recent school year as the baseline year. If the school does not have baseline data, its progress goal will initially be based on the surrounding district average graduation rate.			
Notes	Graduation rates are calculated on a 4-year-plus-summer cohort; for this reason, data availability will always run one year behind (that is, annual reports will contain graduation rate data from the cohort preceding the most recent school year. For example, 2015-16 ACGRs will be reflected in 2017 reports.)			
	The 66% "floor" established by the bottom two categories is based on ESSA's mandatory inclusion in Targeted Support of any school that graduates fewer than 2/3 of its students on time.			

	INDICATOR 1: EDUCACTIONAL PROGRAM		Deinte	Delate
Measure 1a	Is the school implementing the material terms of the educational program as defined in the charter and performance certificate?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Implementation of Educational Program				
	Meets Standard : The school implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program in all material respects, and the implementation of the educational program reflects the essential elements outlined in the charter and performance certificate. A cohesive professional development program is utilized.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school partially implements the material terms of the mission, vision, and educational program. However, implementation is incomplete, not cohesive, inconsistent, unclear, and/or unsupported by adequate resources and professional development.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school has deviated from the material terms of the mission, vision, and/or essential elements of the educational program as described in the performance certificate, without an approved amendment, such that the program provided differs substantially from the program described in the charter and performance certificate.		0	
Notes				25
Measure 1b	Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Farned
Measure 1b Educational Requirements	Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Is the school complying with applicable educational requirements? Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding.	Result No instances of non- compliance documented		
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations , and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated	No instances of non- compliance documented	Possible	Earned
	 Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations , and provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements, including but not limited to: Instructional time requirements, graduation, and promotional requirements, content standards including the Common Core State Standards, the Idaho State Standards, state assessments, and implementation of mandated programming related to state or federal funding. Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to educational requirements; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the 	No instances of non- compliance documented	Possible 25	Earned

Measure 1c	Is the school protecting the rights of students with disabilities?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Students with Disabilities				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identified disabilities and those suspected of having a disability, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; identification and referral, appropriate development and implementation of IEPs and Section 504 plans; operational compliance, including provisions of services in the LRE and appropriate inclusion in the school's academic program, assessments, and extracurricular activities; discipline, including due process protections, manifestation determinations, and behavioral intervention plans; access to school's facility and programs; appropriate use of all available applicable funding.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of students with identifiable disabilities and those suspected of having a disability; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
			Deinte	Deinte
Measure 1d	Is the school protecting the rights of English Language Learner (ELL) students?	Result	Points	Points Earned
			Possible	
English Language Learners			Possible	
English Language Learners	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
English Language Learners	requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL	of non- compliance documented		25
English Language Learners	requirements regarding ELLs, including but not limited to: Equitable access and opportunity to enroll; required policies related to the service of ELL students; compliance with native language communication requirements; proper steps for identification of students in need of ELL services; appropriate and equitable delivery of services to identified students; appropriate accommodations on assessments; exiting students from ELL services; and ongoing monitoring of exited students. Partially Meets Standard: The school has exhibited non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to the treatment of ELL students; however, matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by	of non- compliance documented	25	25

	INDICATOR 2: FINANCIAL MANAGEMENT AND OVERSIGHT			
Measure 2a	Is the school meeting financial reporting and compliance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Financial Reporting and Compliance				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements, including but not limited to: Complete and on-time submission of financial reports including annual budget, revised budgets (if applicable) periodic financial reports as required by PCSC, and any reporting requirements if the board contracts with an Education Service Provider; on-time completion and submission of the annual independent audit and corrective action plans (if applicable); and all reporting requirements related to the use of public funds.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant non-compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial reporting requirements; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
Measure 2b	Is the school following General Accepted Accounting Principles (GAAP)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
GAAP				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit, including but not limited to: An unqualified audit option, an audit devoid of significant findings and conditions, material weakness, or significant internal control weaknesses; and an audit that does not include a going concern disclosure in the notes or an explanatory paragraph within the audit report.	See note	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit. Any matters of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits failure to comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to financial management and oversight expectations as evidenced by an annual independent audit; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes	The school's audit notes that the school was compliant with GAAP, except for GASB Statement 75, which requires actuarial calculations performed for post-employment benefits other than pensions (OPEB). While the PCSC must note that the school was not entirely compliant with GAAP, points have not been deducted from this measure for failure to comply with GASB 75.			25
Measure 2c	Is the school successfully enrolling the projected number of students?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Enrollment Variance	Meets Standard: Enrollment variance equaled or exceeded 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year. Partially Meets Standard: Enrollment variance was between 90 and 95 percent in the most recent fiscal year. Does Not Meet Standard: Enrollment variance was less than 90 percent in the most recent fiscal year.	96%	25 15 0	25
Notes	Enrollment variance is calculated by dividing actual mid-term enrollment by the enrollment projection in the school's board-approved budget, as submitted to the SDE at the beginning of the fiscal year.		0	25

Measure 3a	Is the school complying with governance requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Governance Requirements				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board, including but not limited to: board policies; board bylaws; code of ethics; conflicts of interest; board composition; and compensation for attendance at meetings.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to governance by its board; and/or matters of non compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
Notes				25
Measure 3b	Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations?	Result		
	Is the board fulfilling its oversight obligations? Meets Standard: The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book.	Result No instances of non- compliance documented		
Measure 3b Board Oversight	Meets Standard: The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's	No instances of non- compliance	Possible	Earned
	 Meets Standard: The school's board practices consistent, effective oversight of the school, including but not limited to frequent review of the school finances and academic outcomes. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect competent oversight practices and actions to foster academic, operational, and financial strength of the school, including ongoing board training, policy review, and strategic planning. The school's board has adopted and maintains a complete policy book. Partially Meets Standard: Some of the school board's oversight practices are underdeveloped, inconsistent, incomplete, or reflect a need for additional training. Board meeting agendas, packets, and minutes reflect meaningful efforts toward self-evaluation and improvement. The school's 	No instances of non- compliance	Possible 25	Earned

Measure 3c Reporting Requirements	Is the school complying with reporting requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities, including but not limited to: accountability tracking; attendance and enrollment reporting; compliance and oversight; and additional information requested by the authorizer.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to relevant reporting requirements to the PCSC, the SDE, the SBOE, and/or federal authorities; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	
				25
Notes				
Notes Measure 3d Public Transparency	Is the school complying with public transparency requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Measure 3d	Is the school complying with public transparency requirements? Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities.	Result No instances of non- compliance documented		
Measure 3d	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable	No instances of non- compliance	Possible	Earned
Measure 3d	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to public transparency, including but not limited to: maintenance of its website, timely availability of board meeting minutes, and accessibility of documents maintained by the school under the state's Freedom of Information Act, Open Meeting Law, Public Records Law, and other applicable authorities. Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate	No instances of non- compliance documented	Possible	Earned

Measure 3e Credentialing & Background	Is the school meeting employee credentialing and background check requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Checks	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements.	No instances of non- compliance	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to state and federal certification and background check requirements; and/or matters of non-	documented	15 0	
Notes	compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.			25
Measure 3f Information Handling	Is the school handling information appropriately?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information, including but not limited to: maintaining the security of student records under the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act and other applicable authorities; storing and transferring student and personnel records; and securely maintaining testing materials.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	certificate relating to the handling of information. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the		15 0	25

Measure 4a	Is the school complying with transportation requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Fransportation			rossible	Larriev
	Meets Standard: The school provides student transportation within its primary attendance area and materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or requirements of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or provides and incomplete form of transportation services. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		15	
	Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with applicable laws, rules, regulations, or provisions of the performance certificate relating to transportation; and/or matters of non-compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board; and/or the school does not provide transportation.		0	
. .				25
Notes				
Measure 4b	Is the school complying with facilities requirements?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Public Transparency				
	Meets Standard: The school materially complies with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is clean, well-maintained, and adequate for school operations.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is	of non- compliance	25 15	25
	to the school facilities and grounds, including but not limited to: Americans with Disabilities Act, fire inspections and related records, viable certification of occupancy or other required building use authorization, and documentation of requisite insurance coverage. The school facility is clean, well-maintained, and adequate for school operations. Partially Meets Standard: The school largely exhibits compliance with applicable laws, rules, regulations, and requirements of the performance certificate relating to the school facilities and grounds. Instances of non-compliance are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the	of non- compliance		25

Measure 5a	INDICATOR 5: ADDITIONAL OBLIGATIONS Is the school complying with all other obligations?	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Additional Obligations	Meets Standard : The school materially complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein, including but not limited to requirements from the following sources: revisions to statute and administrative rule; requirements of the State Department of Education; and requirements of the accrediting body.	No instances of non- compliance documented	25	25
	Partially Meets Standard: The school largely complies with all other material legal, statutory, regulatory, or contractual requirements that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein. Matters of non-compliance, if any, are minor and quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board. Does Not Meet Standard: The school exhibits frequent and/or significant failure to materially comply with all other material legal, statutory,		15	
Notes	regulatory, or contractual requirements contained in its charter contract that are not otherwise explicitly stated herein; and/or matters of non- compliance are not quickly remedied, with documentation, by the governing board.		0	25

	INDICATOR 1: NEAR-TERM			
Measure 1a	Current Ratio: Current Assets divided by Current Liabilities	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Current Ratio	Meets Standard: Current Ratio is greater than or equal to 1.1 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's). Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the current ratio must be greater than or equal to 1.1.	1.34	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Current Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 or equals 1.0 OR Current Ratio is between 1.0 and 1.1 and one-year trend is negative.		10	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Current ratio is less than or equal to 0.9.		0	
				50
Notes				
			Points	Points
Measure 1b Cash Ratio	Current Ratio: Cash divided by Current Liabilities	Result	Possible	Earned
	Meets Standard: Cash Ratio is greater than 1.0 OR Cash Ratio is equal to 1.0 and one-year trend is positive (current year ratio is higher than last year's).	1.14	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Cash Ratio is between 0.9 and 1.0 OR Cash Ratio equals 1.0 and one-year trend is negative.		10	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Cash ratio is equal to or less than 0.9.		0	
				50
Notes				
Measure 1c	Unrestricted Days Cash: Unrestricted Cash divided by (Total Expenses minus Depreciation Expense/365)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Unrestricted Days Cash	Meets Standard: 60 Days Cash OR Between 30 and 60 Days Cash and one-year trend is positive. Note: Schools in their first or second year of operation must have a minimum of 30 Days Cash.	45 days	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Days Cash is between 15-30 days OR Days Cash is between 30-60 days and one-year trend is negative.		10	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Fewer than 15 Days Cash.		0	
				50
Notes				
			Points	Points
Measure 1d Default	Default	Result	Possible	Earned
Delault	Meets Standard: School is not in default of financial obligations. Financial obligations include, but are not limited to: nonpayment, breach of financial representation, non- reporting, non-compliance, financial judgements, loan covenants, and/or tax obligations.	No default noted	50	50
	Does Not Meet: School is in default of financial obligations.		0	
				50
Notes				

	INDICATOR 2: SUSTAINABILITY			
Measure 2a	Total Margin: Net Income divided by Total Revenue AND Aggregated Total Margins: Total 3-Year Net Income divided by Total 3-Year Revenues.	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Total Margin and Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin	Meets Standard: Aggregated 3-year Total Margin is positive and the most recent year Total Margin is positive OR Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, the trend is positive for the last two years, and the most recent year Total Margin is positive. Note: For schools in their first or second year of operation, the cumulative Total Margin must be positive.		50	
	Does Not Meet: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is greater than -1.5 percent, but trend does not "Meet Standard".		30	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than or equal to -1.5 percent OR the most recent year Total Margin is less than -10 percent.	See note	0	0
Notes	Aggregated 3-Year Total Margin is less than -1.5 (-3.90%) and most recent year Total Margin is negative (-1.89%). Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.			0
Measure 2b	Debt to Asset Ratio: Total Liabilities divided by Total Assets	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt to Asset Ratio	Meets Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is less than 0.9.	0.87	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Debt to Asset Ratio is between 0.9. and 1.0		30	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Debt to Asset Ratio is greater than 1.0		0	
				50
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure. In addition, subordinated bonds, totaling \$5,798,156, with no principal or interest payments due until 2049 have been eliminated from the calculation. The subordinated bonds have no effect on current or upcoming cash flow.			
Measure 2c	Cash Flow: Multi-Year Cash Flow = Year 3 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash AND One -Year Cash Flow = Year 2 Total Cash - Year 1 Total Cash	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Cash Flow	Meets Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive and Cash Flow is positive each year OR Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, Cash Flow is positive in one of two years, and Cash Flow in the most recent year is positive. Note: Schools in their fist or second year of operation must have positive cash flow.	See note	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive, but trend does not "Meet Standard"		30	
	Falls Far Below Standard: Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is negative.		0	
				50
Notes	Multi-Year Cumulative Cash Flow is positive (\$292,488) and most recent year Cash Flow is positive (\$39,790).			

Measure 2d	Debt Service Coverage Ratio: (Net Income + Depreciation + Interest Expense)/(Annual Principal, Interest, and Lease Payments)	Result	Points Possible	Points Earned
Debt Service Coverage Ratio				
	Meets Standard: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is equal to or exceeds 1.1	1.14	50	50
	Does Not Meet: Debt Service Coverage Ratio is less than 1.1		0	
				50
Notes	Due to the Reinstatement of Pension Liability, as required by GASB 68, Net Position may be higher than expected. Changes in Net Position due to pension reinstatement that do not provide or require current financial resources have been removed from the Net Position calculation. This reinstatement had no material effect on the outcome for this measure.			

North Star Charter School Longitudinal Results

	Percentage of Points Earned						
ACADEMIC	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
State Proficiency Comparison	1a						
	1b						
District Proficiency Comparison	2a						
	2b						
Criterion-Referenced Growth	3a						
	3b						
Norm-Referenced Growth	4a						
	4b						
Post-Secondary Readiness	5a						
% of Possible Academic Points for this School		91%	91%				
			Percentag	e of Points	Earned		
OPERATIONAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Educational Program	1a -1d	N/A	100%				
Financial Management	2a - 2c	N/A	100%				
Governance & Reporting	3a - 3f	N/A	100%				
School Environment	4a - 4b	N/A	100%				
Additional Obligations	5a	N/A	100%				
Additional Obligations	5a	N/A	100%				

% of Possible Operational Points for this School

		Percentage of Points Earned					
FINANCIAL	Measure	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Near-Term	1a - 1d	N/A	100%				
Sustainability	2a - 2d	N/A	75%				
% of Possible Financial Points for this School		N/A	88%				

100%

N/A

ACCOUNTABILITY DESIGNATION	2016-17	2017-18	2018-19	2019-20	2020-21	2021-22
Academic	Honor	Honor				
Mission Specific	N/A	N/A	-			
Operational	N/A*	Honor				
Financial	N/A*	Honor				

*Operational and Financial data for 2016-17 was reported in a different format.